

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,814	10/05/2001	Hyun-Woo Lee	678-752 (P9924)	6754
28249 759	90 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			LY, ANH VU H	
	INGTON BLVD.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		2616	TATER NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,814	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	September 2006.		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,11,12,14,15,17,18,21,22,24,25 at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,11,12,14,15,17,18,21,22,24,2 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration. 25 and 27 is/are rejected.	cation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 03, 2006</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 23, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-12, 14-15, 17-18, 21-22, 24-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art disclosed in the specification on pages 1-10 and Figs. 1-10 and further in view of Heikkinen (WO 95/32558) and further in view of Lamoureux et al (US Patent No. 6,330,458 B1). Hereinafter, referred to as APA, Heikkinen, and Lamoureux.

With respect to claims 1, 5, 8, and 18, APA discloses a transmission apparatus in a CDMA mobile communication system (Fig. 10) for transmitting a modulated radio signal using a plurality of antennas (Fig. 10, elements ANT1 and ANT2), the transmission apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/972,814

Art Unit: 2616

a power amplifier for amplifying the radio signal in a transmission period (Fig. 10, element 1026 or 1038);

wherein the transmission period (Ts0-Ts6) and the non-transmission period (DwPTS, GP, and UpTS) comprise a sub-frame (Fig. 3)

APA does not disclose a controller for generating a switching control signal and a switch for switching the amplified radio signal from the power amplifier between a first and a second antenna in response to the switching control signal.

Heikkinen discloses controller (Fig. 3, element 35) for generating a switching control signal associated with the radio signal amplified by the power amplifier (Fig. 3, element 32) and a switch (Fig. 3, element 33) for switching the amplified radio signal from the power amplifier between a first and a second antenna (Fig. 3, elements 34a, 34b, and 34c) in response to the switching control signal.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include generating a control signal for switching radio signal between a first and a second antenna in APA' system, as suggested by Heikkinen, to reduce internally generated heat in each antenna and to promote the endurance of each antenna.

Neither APA nor Heikkinen disclose that the switching control signal is generated in a non-transmission period, wherein the non-transmission is a guard period in each sub-frame for separating the sub-frames, and wherein the guard period is located at the end of each sub-frame.

Lamoureux discloses that a control signal is generated in a guard period of time slots of a frame (col. 3, lines 2-3). Lamoureux discloses in Fig. 4, a frame comprises a number of time slots (each time slot is considered as a sub-frame by the Examiner). Further, each time slot or

Art Unit: 2616

each sub-frame is separated by a guard 422, 424, 426, and etc...In other words, each guard time is located at the end of a previous slot or a previous sub-frame and beginning a next slot or a next sub-frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate the switching control signal during the guard period for switching between antennas in APA's system, as suggested by Lamoureux, to prevent users from hearing any signal disturbance such as noise that can occur during the switching between antennas.

With respect to claims 2 and 6 APA discloses a TSTD scheme (Fig. 10). APA does not disclose that wherein the controller generates the switching control signal in a guard period of the last time slot among the time slots of the frame. Lamoureux discloses that the controller generates the switching control signal in a guard period of the last time slot among the time slots of the frame associated with the radio signal amplified by the power amplifier (col. 3, lines 2-3, the switching between antenna elements occurs during the guard times of the time slots. Herein, the guard times can be any guard time of any time slots, including the first, second, third, etc... and last guard time of last time slot among the time slots). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature of generating the control signal in a guard period of time slots in APA's system, as suggested by Lamoureux, to eliminate any disturbance to users data transmissions.

With respect to claims 3 and 7, APA discloses that wherein the guard period has a length of 96 chips (Fig. 1, GP has 96 chips).

Art Unit: 2616

With respect to claims 11, APA discloses that wherein the guard period has a length of 96 chips (Fig. 3, GP has a length of 96 chips).

With respect to claims 12 and 22, APA discloses that wherein the guard period is a downlink non-transmission period of a sub-frame (Fig. 3, DwPTS).

With respect to claims 14 and 24, APA discloses that wherein the downlink non-transmission period is 875 usec (page 18, lines 16-18).

With respect to claims 15 and 25, APA discloses that wherein the guard period is an uplink non-transmission period of the sub-frame (Fig. 3).

With respect to claims 17 and 27, APA discloses that wherein the uplink non-transmission period is 825 usec (page 18, lines 21-22).

With respect to claim 21, APA discloses that wherein the guard period has a length of 16 chips (Fig. 4, GP has a length of 16 chips).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,814

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

Page 6

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in page 8 that none of the references teach that the transmission period and the non-transmission period comprise a sub-frame, that the non-transmission period is a guard period in each sub-frame for separating the sub-frames, and that the guard period is located at the end of each sub-frame. Examiner respectfully disagrees. APA discloses in Fig. 3, that the transmission period and non-transmission period comprise a sub-frame. Further, Lamoureux discloses in Fig. 4, a frame comprises a number of time slots (each time slot is considered as a sub-frame by the Examiner). Further, each time slot or each sub-frame is separated by a guard 422, 424, 426, and etc...In other words, each guard time is located at the end of a previous slot or a previous sub-frame and beginning a next slot or a next sub-frame. Therefore, the prior art of record teaches the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H. Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,814

Art Unit: 2616

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

avl